

**Discussion of  
“Currency Choice in Contracts”  
by Drenik, Kirpalani, and Perez**

**Alessandro Dovis**  
U Penn and NBER

IFM Meeting  
Fall 2018

## Summary

This paper studies interaction between

- Denomination of nominal contracts
- Monetary policy

Two main results

- If inflation volatile more contracts in foreign currency
  - As in data
- Equilibrium potentially constrained inefficient
  - Too little use of domestic currency
  - Rationale for policies that discourage use of foreign currencies

## My discussion

- Review mechanism for inefficiency
- Comments
  - Efficiency (too little use of domestic currency): How robust?
    - Sketch out example where opposite true
  - Is evidence on dollar-deposit relevant for mechanism?

## Environment

- Two periods, state of the economy in second period  $s \in \mathcal{S}$
- Buyer, producer, and monetary authority
- Buyer has preferences

$$(1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) c_b(s) - \sum_s \Pr(s) \psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi(s)} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2$$

and endowment  $y$  of numeraire good in second period

- Producer has preferences

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_p(s) c_p(s) - \sum_s \Pr(s) \psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi(s)} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2$$

## Environment

- Two periods, state of the economy in second period  $s \in \mathcal{S}$
- Buyer, producer, and monetary authority
- Buyer has preferences

$$(1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) c_b(s) - \sum_s \Pr(s) \psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi(s)} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2$$

and endowment  $y$  of numeraire good in second period

- Producer has preferences

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_p(s) c_p(s) - \sum_s \Pr(s) \psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi(s)} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2$$

- No inflation-bias:  $\sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) = \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_p(s)$

## Optimal private contract with state contingent transfer

$$\max_{x, t(s)} (1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [y - t(s)]$$

subject to participation constraint

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_p(s) t(s) \geq 0$$

feasibility constraint for all  $s$ :

$$t(s) \leq y$$

Want:

- High  $t(s)$  if  $\theta_p(s) > \theta_b(s)$
- Low  $t(s)$  if  $\theta_p(s) < \theta_b(s)$

## Market incompleteness

- Payments cannot depend on  $s$
- Payments indexed in two currencies
  - Domestic currency:  $t_d$
  - Foreign currency:  $t_f$
- Value of currency (in terms of numeraire good)
  - domestic currency:  $\phi_d(T, s)$
  - foreign currency:  $\phi_f(s)$  (exogenous)

## Optimal private contract with non-contingent transfers

Given  $T = (T_d, T_f)$  and strategy  $\phi_d(\cdot)$  solve

$$\max_{x, t_d, t_f} (1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [y - t_d \phi_d(T, s) - t_f \phi_f(s)]$$

subject to participation constraint

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s)] \geq 0$$

feasibility constraint for all  $s$ :

$$t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s) \leq y$$

## Optimal private contract with non-contingent transfers

Given  $T = (T_d, T_f)$  and strategy  $\phi_d(\cdot)$  solve

$$\max_{x, t_d, t_f} (1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [y - t_d \phi_d(T, s) - t_f \phi_f(s)]$$

subject to participation constraint

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s)] \geq 0$$

feasibility constraint for all  $s$ :

$$t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s) \leq y$$

Desirable to have payments denominated in currency with

- $\phi_i(s)$  high if  $\theta_p(s) > \theta_b(s)$
- $\phi_i(s)$  low if  $\theta_p(s) > \theta_b(s)$

so it can replicate state contingencies

## Optimal private contract with non-contingent transfers

Given  $T = (T_d, T_f)$  and strategy  $\phi_d(\cdot)$  solve

$$\max_{x, t_d, t_f} (1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [y - t_d \phi_d(T, s) - t_f \phi_f(s)]$$

subject to participation constraint

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s)] \geq 0$$

feasibility constraint for all  $s$ :

$$t_d \phi_d(T, s) + t_f \phi_f(s) \leq y$$

Desirable to have payments denominated in currency with

- Low volatility not correlated with  $\theta_p(s) - \theta_b(s)$ 
  - Because feasibility constraint
  - Same result dropping feasibility but curvature in consumption numeraire good

## Monetary policy

Monetary authority given  $(T_d, T_f)$  and  $s$  chooses  $\phi_d$  to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\phi_d} & \theta_b(s) [y - T_d \phi_d - T_f \phi_f(s)] + \theta_p(s) [T_d \phi_d + T_f \phi_f(s)] \\ & - 2\psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi_d} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Monetary policy

Monetary authority given  $(T_d, T_f)$  and  $s$  chooses  $\phi_d$  to solve

$$\max_{\phi_d} (\theta_s(s) - \theta_b(s)) T_d \phi_d - 2\psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi_d} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2 + \text{t.i.p}$$

- Trade off two motives
  - Mimic state contingent payments
  - Other motives:  $\phi^*$
  
- Higher  $T_d \Rightarrow$  higher incentives to create state contingencies

## Equilibrium can be constrained inefficient

- Higher value by solving

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x, T_d, T_f} (1 + \lambda) x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [y - T_d \phi_d(T, s) - T_f \phi_f(s)] \\ - \sum_s \Pr(s) \psi \left( \frac{1}{\phi_d(T, s)} - \frac{1}{\phi^*(s)} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

subject to

$$-x + \sum_s \Pr(s) \theta_b(s) [T_d \phi_d(T, s) + T_f \phi_f(s)] \geq 0$$

$$T_d \phi_d(T, s) + T_f \phi_f(s) \leq y$$

given monetary authority strategy  $\phi_d(\cdot)$

- Too little contracts indexed in domestic currency

## How robust is this result?

- Consider a world with  $\mathbb{E}(\theta_b) > \mathbb{E}(\theta_s)$  (inflation bias)
  - Ex-post monetary authority wants more inflation to redistribute from producer to buyer
- Private equilibrium can be inefficient
- Too little contracts indexed in foreign currency if
  - $\mathbb{E}(\theta_b) > \mathbb{E}(\theta_s)$
  - $\text{Var}(\theta_b - \theta_s)$  not too large
- Mechanism
  - Private agents take inflation as given
  - Prefer domestic currency because it provides insurance so  $t_d > 0$
  - Inflation inefficiently high:  $\phi_d < \phi^*$  because incentive to redistribute to buyer
  - Can commit to low inflation by setting  $T_d = 0$

## Rationale for observed policies

- We observe policies to increase use of domestic currency
- Thus forces in model more prevalent
- But other explanations
  - Force domestic currency to maximize seignorage revenues
- Does evidence on deposit in dollars speak to mechanism in model?
  - Unit of accounts or means of payments?

## Conclusions

- Nice paper on relevant topic
- Interaction between
  - Denomination of nominal contracts
  - Monetary policy
- More work to understand dominant forces